

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	THE DIC DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		150.00560104	6476
10/050,639	01/15/2002	Whonchee Lee	130.00300104	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
26813 7590 12/02/2002 MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A. P.O. BOX 581415 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458			EXAMINER	
			DEO, DUY VU	
MINNEAPOL	13, WIN 33430		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	9
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2009	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			# S-°
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Advisory Action	10/050,639	Applicant(s)  LEE ET AL.  Art Unit  1765  Correspondence address  R ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a th places the application in the final rejection, whichever is late and date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  RR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extens originally set in the final Office action; illing date of the final rejection, even if the appeal.  See NOTE below);  Perially reducing or simplifying the finally rejected claims.  Reparate, timely filed amendment idered but does NOT place the to issues which were newly  Di will be entered and an ow or appended.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DuyVu n Deo	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in all rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment which a timel (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in	
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate exter ount of the fee. The appropriate exter originally set in the final Office action	nsion ension n; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li></ol>	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed amendme	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place th	ie
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	)⊡ will be entered and an ow or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 68-75.			
Claim(s) objected to: 47-49.			
Claim(s) rejected: 46 and 50-67.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation (from claim 50) in claim 46 raises new issue for claims 57-59 because they do not depend on claim 50..

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER